

REMARKS

In the Office Action mailed November 22, 2005, in the above captioned case, the Examiner has stated that the present Application contains two distinct species. As such, the Examiner has required the Applicants to elect a single species for prosecution on the merits.

ELECTION WITHOUT TRAVERSE BETWEEN SPECIES 1 AND SPECIES 2

Applicants elect without traverse Species 1 recited in Claims 1-8, drawn to a switch for regulating the substrate potential of an integrated circuit with switched terminals coupled to ground and substrate bias supply line.

CONCLUSION

Claims 1-8 remain pending in the present Application. Claims 9-14 are canceled herein without prejudice.

The Examiner is invited to contact Applicants' undersigned representative if the Examiner believes such action would expedite resolution of the present Application.

Dated: March 22, 2006

Respectfully submitted,
WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP


Amir A. Tabarrok
Registration No. 57,137

WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP
Two North Market Street
Third Floor
San Jose, California 95113

(408) 938-9060 Voice
(408) 938-9069 Facsimile